```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
             DISTRICT OF OREGON, PORTLAND DIVISION
 3
     KELLY CAHILL, SARA JOHNSTON,
 4
     LINDSAY ELIZABETH, and HEATHER
     HENDER, individually and on
 5
     behalf of others similarly
 6
     situated,
                 Plaintiffs,
 8
           VS.
                                          Case No.:
                                          3:18-cv-01477-JR
 9
     NIKE, INC., an Oregon
     corporation,
10
                 Defendant.
11
12
13
14
15
      VIDEO-RECORDED ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF
16
                          DONNA OLSON
                    Friday, December 11, 2020
17
                            Volume I
18
19
20
21
2.2
     Reported by:
     MICHELLE BULKLEY
2.3
     CSR #13658
     Job #4347602
24
     PAGES 1 - 265
25
                                                    Page 1
```

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 180-4 Filed 03/25/22 Page 2 of 16

1	Q Did you ever learn who did get that	12:04
2	position?	
3	A No.	
4	Q Okay. And then the second application you	
5	said you submitted was for a security supervisor	12:05
6	position	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q at Nike?	
9	Do you recall how you learned about that	
10	opening?	12:05
11	A A newspaper.	
12	Q There was like a if you recall, like an	
13	advertisement for a vacancy?	
14	A Yeah. It was in the "Help Wanted" section	
15	where all the jobs were listed.	12:05
16	Q Okay. You submitted your application for	
17	the security supervisor position. Was that also via	
18	mail, if you can recall?	
19	A I believe so, yes.	
20	Q Do you recall the contents of the	12:06
21	application?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Okay. And then what happened?	
24	A I believe a couple of weeks later, I got a	
25	call for an interview, and I went in and was	12:06
		Page 74

1	interviewed by Josh Harris and Dan Marin. And when	12:06				
2	I left and got home, my phone was ringing, and they					
3	offered me the job.					
4	Q Dan Marin, do you know how to spell his					
5	last name?	12:06				
6	$A \qquad M-A-R-I-N.$					
7	Q Do you recall their job titles?					
8	A Dan Marin was the day shift supervisor,					
9	and Josh Harris was the manager.					
10	Q Security manager?	12:07				
11	A Yes.					
12	Q And then Dan Marin was the day shift					
13	supervisor for security?					
14	A Yes.					
15	Q You interviewed with them both at the same	12:07				
16	time?					
17	A Yes.					
18	Q And did you say was that in person?					
19	A Yes.					
20	Q And what about the job opportunity as a	12:07				
21	security supervisor interested you?					
22	A Well, it was basically what my background					
23	was, was security supervision. And the other thing					
24	that was attractive is it wasn't a contract security					
25	agency; it was an in-house security program.	12:08				
		Page 75				

1	Q Had you been interested in working at Nike	12:08
2	before?	
3	A Basically I was, like, applying for a job.	
4	I actually had another job offer from Multnomah	
5	County as a security officer for the courts, and I	12:08
6	chose Nike over Multnomah County.	
7	Q Why did you choose Nike?	
8	A I think partly my brother ran track, and	
9	Steve Prefontaine was a big hero, and that was part	
10	of it. And Nike, on the other hand, was closer to	12:09
11	my house, and I wouldn't have to drive downtown.	
12	Q Anything else?	
13	A No.	
14	Q So when you had your in-person interview	
15	with Josh Harris and Dan Marin about the security	12:09
16	supervisor position, did you discuss compensation at	
17	all?	
18	A I don't remember.	
19	Q Okay. And then you said that they called	
20	you that same day as the interview	12:09
21	A Yes.	
22	Q to offer you the job.	
23	Do you recall if you received an offer	
24	letter?	
25	A I think I did.	12:10
		Page 76

1	Q And when you spoke to Josh Harris and Dan	12:10
2	Marin on the phone when they offered you the job,	
3	did they discuss compensation with you then, if you	
4	recall?	
5	A Actually, the person that called me I	12:10
6	forgot. There was another person in the interview,	
7	an HR person, and I forget her name. I can't	
8	remember her name. But she's actually the one that	
9	called me. I think it was Sue Parette or something	
10	like that.	12:11
11	Q Okay. Okay. I guess so. Maybe just to	
12	back up and confirm, so Sue Parette was present in	
13	the interview that you had with Josh Harris and Dan	
14	Marin?	
15	A I believe that was her name, yes.	12:11
16	Q Was anyone else in that interview meeting?	
17	A No.	
18	Q And did you interview with anyone else at	
19	Nike	
20	A No.	12:11
21	Q after that?	
22	A No.	
23	Q So is it accurate that you had one	
24	interview at Nike with Dan Marin, Josh Harris, and	
25	Sue Parette, to the best of your recollection?	12:11
		Page 77

I _		
1	A Yes.	12:11
2	Q Okay. All right. And you believe it was	
3	Sue Parette who called you that same day and offered	
4	you the job?	
5	A Yes.	12:11
6	Q And was the job that she was offering you	
7	the one that you had applied for, security	
8	supervisor?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And do you recall if Sue Parette discussed	12:12
11	compensation with you at all?	
12	A I think she told me what the job paid, and	
13	I want to say it was like \$9.75 or \$9.95,	
14	something something in the 9 range. Plus	
15	Q Was that per hour?	12:12
16	A Yes. Plus shift differential. 7 percent	
17	for swing shift and 10 percent for graveyard.	
18	Q Okay. When did you accept the offer?	
19	A When she called me.	
20	Q So on the phone, that same conversation?	12:13
21	A Yeah.	
22	Q Okay. Did you try to negotiate	
23	compensation with her at all?	
24	A No.	
25	Q Okay. So I think based on Nike's records,	12:13
		Page 78

1 it looks like your starting hourly rate was \$10.41 12:13 2 an hour. Does that sound 3 A It does 4 Q accurate to you? Yeah. 5 A I guess so. It was so long ago I don't 12:13 6 if that's what they say, that I guess that's it. 7 Q Okay. Any reason to think it's not 8 accurate as you sit here today? 9 MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; 10 assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13 11 THE WITNESS: If that's what the record
A It does Q accurate to you? Yeah. A I guess so. It was so long ago I don't 12:13 if that's what they say, that I guess that's it. Q Okay. Any reason to think it's not accurate as you sit here today? MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
Q accurate to you? Yeah. A I guess so. It was so long ago I don't 12:13 if that's what they say, that I guess that's it. Q Okay. Any reason to think it's not accurate as you sit here today? MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
A I guess so. It was so long ago I don't 12:13 if that's what they say, that I guess that's it. Q Okay. Any reason to think it's not accurate as you sit here today? MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
<pre>6 if that's what they say, that I guess that's it. 7</pre>
7 Q Okay. Any reason to think it's not 8 accurate as you sit here today? 9 MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; 10 assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
8 accurate as you sit here today? 9 MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; 10 assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
9 MR. KAN: Objection. Lacks foundation; 10 assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
10 assumes facts not in evidence. 12:13
11 THE WITNESS: If that's what the record
12 shows, I don't have any reason to dispute it, I
13 suppose.
14 BY MS. ZABELE:
Q Okay. Did you think your starting pay was 12:14
16 fair?
17 MR. KAN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
18 THE WITNESS: Well, my unemployment was
19 running out, and I needed a job. So, yeah, I
20 thought it was it was it was fair, in that, if 12:14
21 I had gone to any other contract agency, I don't
22 think I would have made that much money. So I was
23 satisfied with it.
24 BY MS. ZABELE:
Q Okay. And out of curiosity, do you 12:14
Page 79

1	remember what the offer you received from Multnomah	12:14
2	County was in terms of compensation?	
3	A Seems to me they were comparable, but I	
4	don't remember.	
5	Q Okay. Did you discuss any other	12:15
6	compensation terms with Sue Parette when she called	
7	to offer you the job of security supervisor?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Do you know who made the decision to hire	
10	you?	12:15
11	A I have a feeling it was a consensus.	
12	Q Okay. But you don't know for sure?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Okay. Okay. And for your starting pay,	
15	do you have any reason to believe that the dollar	12:16
16	amount was based on your gender in any way?	
17	A I have no idea. I	
18	Q But as you sit here today, are there any	
19	facts or evidence you can think of?	
20	A Not that I can think of.	12:16
21	Q Do you believe it was based on your gender	
22	in any way?	
23	MR. KAN: Objection. Asked and answered.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't think so.	
25	BY MS. ZABELE:	12:16
		Page 80

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 180-4 Filed 03/25/22 Page 9 of 16

1	Q Okay. All right. So you joined Nike as a	12:16					
2	security supervisor in October 1991; is that						
3	accurate?						
4	A Yes.						
5	Q Do you recall what band that position	12:17					
6	would have been in, if you know?						
7	A You know, I don't think they had bands at						
8	that time. I think they rated their jobs like 04,						
9	05, 06 kind of thing. So officers, I think, were						
10	04s and supervisors were 05s.	12:17					
11	Q Okay. So as far as you recall, not a band						
12	like one of the VALUEs bands that you had mentioned						
13	earlier?						
14	A That came later, yeah.						
15	Q Okay. Do you recall actually, scratch	12:17					
16	that.						
17	How long were you a security supervisor at						
18	Nike?						
19	A From '91 until, like, '97, I went to risk						
20	management, and then I went back to security	12:18					
21	supervisor, I think, a couple of years later. And I						
22	was a security supervisor until March 2000.						
23	Q Okay. Do you recall when it would have						
24	been that you left the risk management position and						
25	returned to security supervisor where you worked	12:18					
		Page 81					

1	
2	
3	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
7	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
8	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
9	prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that
10	a record of the proceedings was made by me using
11	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
12	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is
13	a true record of the testimony given.
14	I further certify that I am neither
15	financially interested in the action nor a relative
16	or employee of any attorney or any party to this
17	action.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
19	subscribed my name.
20	Dated: 12/28/2020
21	and the Day
22	Michaelle Bullow
23	MICHELLE BULKLEY, CSR No. 13658
24	
	The dismantling of transcript will void Reporter's
25	certificate.
	Page 265
	i age 200

Cahill v. Nike

No. 3:18-cv-01477-JR (D. Or.)

Deposition Date: December 11, 2020 (Volume 1); December 22, 2020 (Volume 2)

Deponent: Donna J. Olson

Vol.	Page	Line(s)	Reads	Should Read	Reason
1	5	13	16	15	To correct transcription error
1	6	23	The plaintiff	an opt-in plaintiff	To correct transcription error
1	8	22	O-S-S-N-A [sic]	O-S-S-A-N-N-A	To correct spelling error
1	19	1	Yes. I believe it was the 8 th .	Actually, I believe it was Monday the 7 th .	Corrected response to question
1	20	13	That's my recollection, yes	I also met with James for an hour on December 3, 2020 and for approximately four hours on December 8, 2020.	To correct transcription error and provide full response to question.
1	36	18	Said that, I assumed it was true.	Said that, I assumed it was true, but I don't know for sure.	Full response to question.
1	37	16	Crane	Krane	To correct spelling error
1	38	1	He didn't	He didn't know what to say.	Full response to the question asked
1	79	23	Satisfied with it.	Satisfied with it at the time.	Full response to the question asked
1	80	24	I don't think so	I don't think so, but I can't be sure.	Full response to the question asked
1	86	24	For Nafall [phonetic] event	Four in the Fall	To correct transcription error
1	88	8-9	I believe a couple of other people went to some sales meetings as well.	Other security supervisors performed similar roles to me. And a couple of other people went to	Misheard the question; full answer to question asked

	1		T	Γ -	
				some sales	
				meetings as well.	
1	93	9	[inaudible]	ambiguous	To correct transcription error
1	96	15	Of the duties that you had to perform	Of the duties that you had to perform specific to the time of day or night.	Full response to the question asked.
1	98	4	Again, just the job differences were	Again, just the job difference that were	To correct transcription error
1	99	19	Kris Stein	Chris Stine	To correct spelling error
1	100	18	Kris	Chris	To correct spelling error
1	101	1	Kris	Chris	To correct spelling error
1	102	6	Kris	Chris	To correct spelling error
1	120	6-7	He gave me what he could give me	He gave me what he could give me under Nike policies.	Full response to the question asked.
1	124	11-12	I had no reason to think it wasn't fair.	I had no reason to think it wasn't fair at that time.	Full response to the question asked.
1	125	5	At that time, I don't think	At that time, I didn't think	To correct transcription error
1	130	4-6	One of the nice things about supervisors, you had to have someone report to you	security supervisors had to have a direct report	To correct transcription error
1	133	15	S-Q-U-E-E-Z- I [sic].	S-Q-U-E-E-Z-A- R-I	To correct spelling error
1	135	13	AirMI	Air MI	To correct spelling error
1	135	21	AirMI	Air MI	To correct spelling error
1	140	20-21	I don't remember. Probably in early	I don't remember.	To correct transcription error
1	144	1-2	John Woodman for two years. So 2005, 2006. Then I reported to Jim	After Robison, I reported to Jim Petsche from 2005 through 2008. Then I reported to John Woodman for two years.	Corrected response to question

			Petsche for		
1	144	19-25	two years. No. I think so Dave was 2000 to 2002. Jim was 2003 to 2005. John was the next two years. I started reporting to Deb Hellmer- Steele in 2000 to 2012, and then Joe from 2000 you know, the middle of the year 2012 till it was June to June. It seemed to be a June-to-June cycle. So that's why the years	No. I think so Dave was 2000 to 2002. Jim Robison was 2003 to 2005. Jim Petsche was the next few years. John Woodman was 2008 to 2010. I started reporting to Deb Hellmer- Steele in 2010 to 2012, and then Joe Marsico from 2013 to 2015 you know, the middle of the year 2013 till it was June to June. It seemed to be a June-to-June cycle. So that's why the years got	Corrected response to question
1	145	7	Right.	The few years before John Woodman.	Corrected response to question.
1	145	10-11	That's only one year. I reported for two years.	That's only one year. I reported to John Woodman from 2008 to 2010.	Corrected response to question
1	145	14-15	Right. And then Jim Petsche from 2008 to June 2010. And then Deb from 2010 to 2012	I reported to Jim Petsche from 2005 to 2008. Then John Woodman from 2008 to 2010. And then Deb from 2010 to 2012	Corrected response to question
1	146	13	From 2000 to to 2017	From 2015 to – to 2017	To correct transcription error
1	146	24	AirAMI	Air MI	To correct spelling error

1	1.7.4	11 12	D r	D I	P 11
1	154	11-12	Because, I	Because, I mean, I	Full response to the
			mean,	was told that	question asked.
			everybody	everybody wants	
			wants	everybody to get	
			everybody to	paid fairly	
			get paid fairly.	according to Nike	
-	1.64		**	policies.	D 11
1	164	3	Yes	Yes, they	Full response to the
				informed me of	question asked.
				the final decisions,	
				but I'm not sure if	
				they were the final	
1	1.64	1.1	***	decision maker.	D 11
1	164	11	Yes	Yes, they	Full response to the
				informed me of	question asked.
				the final decisions,	
				but I'm not sure if	
				they were the final	
1	1.67	20	D [:]	decision maker.	T 11'
1	167	20	Dan [sic]	Don	To correct spelling error
1	170	24	Ridarti's	Rodarte's	To correct spelling error
1	171	3	Because IH –	Because –Air MI	To correct transcription
1	1.71	1_	AirAMI	4 . 3 . 67	error
1	171	5	AirAMI	Air MI	To correct spelling error
1	175	25	Objection.	Objection. Vague	To correct transcription
1	177	12	[Inaudible].	and ambiguous	error
1	175	13	We did –we	Our duties were	Misheard the question; full
			did different	similar in that we	answer to question asked.
			things.	had a common	
				goal to establish	
				global security	
				standards for our	
				areas of	
				responsibility, but we had different	
				areas of	
				responsibility.	
1	176	7	Beale	Veal	To correct spelling error
1	182	24	Crane	Krane	To correct spelling error
1	183	1	Crane	Krane	To correct spelling error
1	192	1	Bush	Carter	To correct transcription
1	1,72		174311		error
1	206	3	so	So no	To correct transcription
1	200				error
1	208	2	Unfair	Unfair for work	Full response to question
1		1		expected of a	asked
		1	1	Tripootou or u	abite a

			T	T .	
				security manager	
				as opposed to a	
				Director.	
1	218	1	I don't know. No, I guess.	I don't know.	Corrected response.
1	219	7	WHQ-centric manager, yeah.	WHQ-centric manager, yeah, but not for the Director level work I performed.	Full response to the question asked
1	224	22	It would be fair.	It would be fair for a manager, but it wasn't for the Director level work I performed.	Full response to the question asked
1	227	7	For a WHQ-centric manager, yeah.	For a WHQ- centric manager, yeah. No for my Director level work.	Full response to question asked.
1	228	20	Yes	Yes and possibly others.	Full response to question asked.
1	228	25	For a WHQ manager yes.	For a WHQ manager yes. For my Director level work, no.	Full response to question asked.
1	230	4	This would be Tyson.	This would be Tyson and possibly others.	Full response to question asked.
1	234-35	25-3	But if they are, then 3 percent would have been probably what it would have been, but the performance sharing plan would have been 20 percent.	But if they are, then 3 percent would have been probably what it would have been, but the performance sharing plan would have been 20 percent, and with a higher base, the 3 percent would be more money. Also, I would have received stock	Full response to question asked

				options as a	
				director.	
1	252	12	John	John McLachlan,	To correct spelling error
			McLaughlin,	Scott Veal	
			Scott Beale		
1	252	14	Beale	Veal	To correct spelling error
1	252	13	Ramp	Ranft	To correct spelling error
1	252	15	Ramp	Ranft	To correct spelling error
1	254	10	John	John McLachlan	To correct spelling error
			McLaughlin		
2	270	25	Other plaintiff	Other plaintiffs	To correct transcription
					error
2	279	7	Discriminating	Discriminating	Corrected and full response
			against	against women in	to questions asked.
			women? No, I	CFE feedback?	
			don't.	No, I don't.	
2	279	11-12	Needs to be	Needs to be done	To correct transcription
			done in a	in a manner of	error
			manner of	respect – a	
			respect type of	respectful type of	
			tone	tone	
2	303	11	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	303	22	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	304	1	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	304	19	McLachlen's	McLachlan's	To correct spelling error
2	305	10	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	305	19	McLachlen's	McLachlan's	To correct spelling error
2	306	8	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	306	11	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	307	4	McLachlen	McLachlan	To correct spelling error
2	307	11	Ami	MI	To correct spelling error

Subject to the above changes, I declare under the penalties of perjury of the laws of the United States that my deposition transcript is true and correct.

Executed on $\frac{1/22/2021}{}$ in Sun City West, Arizona.

Donna J. Uson

8A6294A808B2444...